

The Long dimodules category and nonlinear equations

G. Militaru

University of Bucharest

Faculty of Mathematics

Str. Academiei 14

RO-70109 Bucharest 1, Romania

e-mail: gmilit@al.math.unibuc.ro

Abstract

Let H be a bialgebra and ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ be the category of Long H -dimodules defined, for a commutative and cocommutative H , by F. W. Long in [10] and studied in connection to the Brauer group of a so called H -dimodule algebra. For a commutative and cocommutative H , ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H = {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ (the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules), but for an arbitrary H the categories ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ and ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ are basically different. Keeping in mind that the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is deeply involved in solving the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, we shall study the category ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ of H -dimodules in connection with what we have called the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation: $R^{12}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{12}$, where $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ for a vector space M over a field k . The main result is a FRT type theorem: if M is finite dimensional, then any solution R of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation has the form $R = R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$, where $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ is a Long $D(R)$ -dimodule over a bialgebra $D(R)$ and $R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$ is the special map $R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}(m \otimes n) := \sum n_{<1>} \cdot m \otimes n_{<0>}$. In the last section, if C is a coalgebra and I is a coideal of C , we shall introduce the notion of $\mathcal{D}$ -map on C , that is a k -bilinear map $\sigma : C \otimes C/I \rightarrow k$ satisfying a condition which ensures on one hand that for any right C -comodule, the special map R_σ is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation, and on the other that, in the finite case, any solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation has this form.

0 Introduction

Let k be a field, M a k -vector space, $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ such that a certain equation $(\mathcal{E})$ holds in $\text{End}_k(M \otimes M \otimes M)$. The starting point of the present paper is the following general question:

"Does the Hopf algebra theory offer an effective technique for solving the equation $(\mathcal{E})$?"

Using as a source of inspiration the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, in the solution of which the instruments offered by Hopf algebra theory have proven to be very efficient (see [7], [8], [9], [13]), we can identify two ways of approaching the above problem:

1. Let H be a bialgebra. The first idea is to define a new category ${}_H\mathcal{E}^H$: the objects in this category are threetuples $(M, \cdot, \rho)$, where $(M, \cdot)$ is a left H -module and (M, ρ) is a right H -comodule such that $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ satisfies a compatibility relation which ensures that the special map

$$R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)} : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M, \quad R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}(m \otimes n) := \sum n_{<1>} \cdot m \otimes n_{<0>}$$

for all $m, n \in M$, is a solution of the equation $(\mathcal{E})$. The deal is now the converse: if M is a finite dimensional vector space and R is a solution of the equation $(\mathcal{E})$, can we construct a bialgebra $E(R)$ such that $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ has a structure of object in ${}_{E(R)}\mathcal{E}^{E(R)}$ and $R = R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$? Roughly speaking, an affirmative answer to this FRT type theorem tells us that the maps $R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$, where $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ is an object in ${}_H\mathcal{E}^H$ for some bialgebra H , "count all solutions" of the equation $(\mathcal{E})$. Two questions arise in a natural way: first, which is the bialgebra $E(R)$? Suppose that M has dimension n . Let $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$ be the comatrix coalgebra of order n and $(T(\mathcal{M}^n(k)), m, 1, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ the unique bialgebra structure of the tensor algebra $(T(\mathcal{M}^n(k)), m, 1)$ whose comultiplication Δ and counit ε extend the comultiplication and the counit from $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$. As a general rule, $E(R) = T(\mathcal{M}^n(k))/I$, where I is a bi-ideal of $T(\mathcal{M}^n(k))$ generated by some "obstructions". The second question arising here regards the potential benefits of such an approach to the nonlinear equation $(\mathcal{E})$. The answer to this question is easy: following the technique evidenced by Radford in [14] for the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, reduced to the pointed case, we can classify the solutions of the equation $(\mathcal{E})$, at least for low dimensions.

2. The second approach to our problem is the following: let H be a bialgebra and $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ a k -bilinear map. We require for the map σ to satisfy certain properties (P) which ensure that for any right H -comodule M , the natural map

$$R_\sigma : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M, \quad R_\sigma(m \otimes n) = \sum \sigma(m_{<1>} \otimes n_{<1>}) m_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>}$$

for all $m, n \in M$, is a solution for the equation $(\mathcal{E})$. Similar to case 1, the converse is interesting: in the finite dimensional case, any solution arises in this way.

In the case of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation the role of the category ${}_H\mathcal{E}^H$ is played by ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules (or crossed modules) defined by Yetter in [16]. Of course, here we have in mind the version of the famous FRT theorem given by Radford in [13]. The role of the maps σ which satisfy the properties (P) is played by the co-quasitriangular (or braided) bialgebras. For more information regarding the Yetter-Drinfel'd modules or co-quasitriangular bialgebras we refer to [8], [13], [14], or to the more recent [3], [4].

Recently, in [11] we evidence the fact that the classical category ${}_H\mathcal{M}^H$ of H -Hopf modules ([1]) is also deeply involved in solving a certain non-linear equation. We called it the Hopf equation, and it is:

$$R^{12}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{13}R^{12}$$

The Hopf equation is equivalent, taking $W = \tau R \tau$, where τ is the flip map, with the pentagonal equation

$$W^{12}W^{13}W^{23} = W^{23}W^{12}$$

which plays a fundamental role in the duality theory of operator algebras (see [2] and the references indicated here). We remember that the unitary fundamental operator defined by Takesaki for a Hopf von Neumann algebra is a solution of the Hopf equation (see lemma 4.9 of [15]).

Although at first sight the categories ${}_H\mathcal{M}^H$ and ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ are completely different, in fact they are particular cases of the same general category ${}_A\mathcal{M}(H)^C$ of Doi-Hopf modules defined by Doi in [6]: if A is a right H -comodule algebra and C is a left H -module coalgebra then a threetuple $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ is an object in ${}_A\mathcal{M}(H)^C$ if $(M, \cdot)$ is a left A -module, (M, ρ) is a right C -comodule and the following compatibility condition holds

$$\rho(a \cdot m) = \sum a_{<0>} \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes a_{<1>} m_{<1>} \quad (1)$$

for all $a \in A$, $m \in M$. Taking $A = C = H$, then ${}_H\mathcal{M}(H)^H = {}_H\mathcal{M}^H$, the category of classical H -Hopf modules; on the other hand ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H = {}_H\mathcal{M}(H^{op} \otimes H)^H$, where H can be viewed (see [3]) as an $H^{op} \otimes H$ -module (comodule) coalgebra (algebra).

Now, let $A = H$ with the right H -comodule structure via Δ and $C = H$ with the trivial H -module structure: $h \cdot k := \varepsilon(h)k$ for all $h, k \in H$. Then the compatibility condition (1) takes the form

$$\rho(h \cdot m) = \sum h \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>}$$

for all $h \in H$, $m \in M$. We shall denote this category with ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, which is also a special case of the Doi-Hopf module category. This category ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ was defined first by F.W. Long in [10] for a commutative and cocommutative H and was studied in connection to the construction of the Brauer group of an H -dimodule algebra (an object in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ was called in [10] an H -dimodule). It is interesting to note that for a commutative and cocommutative H the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules is precisely ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, the category of Long H -dimodules (see, for example, [3]). Of course, for an arbitrary H , the categories ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ are basically different. Keeping in mind that the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules plays a determinant role in describing the solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, the following question is natural:

"In which equation will the category ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ of Long H -dimodules play a key role ?"

Answering this question would complete the image of the way in which the three well known categories from Hopf algebras theory, ${}_H\mathcal{M}^H$, ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, participate in solving non-linear equations.

In this paper we shall study in detail what we have called the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation: $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ is called a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation if

$$R^{12} R^{23} = R^{23} R^{12}.$$

In the study of this equation, we shall apply the general treatment presented above. In theorem 3.5 we prove that in the finite dimensional case any solution R of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation has the form $R = R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$, where $(M, \cdot, \rho) \in {}_{D(R)}\mathcal{L}^{D(R)}$, for some bialgebra $D(R)$. The bialgebra $D(R)$ is a quotient of $T(\mathcal{M}^n(k))$ by a bi-ideal generated by some obstruction

elements $o(i, j, k, l)$ which have degree one in the graded algebra $T(\mathcal{M}^n(k))$, i.e. $o(i, j, k, l)$ lie in the coalgebra $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$. This observation led us in the last section to define the concept of $\mathcal{D}$ -map in a more general case, relative only to a coalgebra. The $\mathcal{D}$ -equation is obtained from the quantum Yang-Baxter equation by deleting the middle term from both sides. This operation destroys a certain symmetry which exists in the case of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, and it is reflected in the fact that the $\mathcal{D}$ -maps are defined as k -bilinear maps from $C \otimes C/I$ to k , where I is a coideal of a coalgebra C . This "assymetry" of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation is also underlined in the second item of the main theorem of this section. As applications, we present several examples of such constructions.

Following Doi's philosophy ([6]), we can define a more general category ${}_A\mathcal{L}^C$, where A is an algebra and C is a colagebra. Any decomposable left A -module can be viewed as an object of ${}_A\mathcal{L}^C$, where $C = k[X]$, for some set X . We can thus view the category ${}_A\mathcal{L}^C$ as a generalisation of the decomposable modules category.

Acknowledgement: the author thanks S. Caenepeel for his helpful comments on a preliminary version of this paper.

1 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, k will be a field. All vector spaces, algebras, coalgebras and bialgebras that we consider are over k . $\otimes$ and Hom will mean $\otimes_k$ and Hom_k . For a coalgebra C , we will use Sweedler's Σ -notation, that is, $\Delta(c) = \sum c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$, $(I \otimes \Delta)\Delta(c) = \sum c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)}$, etc. We will also use Sweedler's notation for right C -comodules: $\rho_M(m) = \sum m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>}$, for any $m \in M$ if (M, ρ_M) is a right C -comodule. $\mathcal{M}^C$ will be the category of right C -comodules and C -colinear maps and ${}_A\mathcal{M}$ will be the category of left A -modules and A -linear maps, if A is a k -algebra. An important role in the present paper will be played by the $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$, the comatrix coalgebra of order n , i.e. $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$ is the n^2 -dimensional vector space with $\{c_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ a k -basis such that

$$\Delta(c_{jk}) = \sum_{u=1}^n c_{ju} \otimes c_{uk}, \quad \varepsilon(c_{jk}) = \delta_{jk} \quad (2)$$

for all $j, k = 1, \dots, n$. We view $T(\mathcal{M}^n(k))$ with the unique bialgebra structure which can be defined on the tensor algebra $T(\mathcal{M}^n(k))$ which extend the comultiplication Δ and the counity ε of $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$.

For a vector space M , $\tau : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M$ will denote the flip map, that is, $\tau(m \otimes n) = n \otimes m$ for all $m, n \in M$. $\tau^{(123)}$ will be the automorphism of $M \otimes M \otimes M$ given by $\tau^{(123)}(l \otimes m \otimes n) = n \otimes l \otimes m$, for all $l, m, n \in M$. If $R : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M$ is a linear map we denote by R^{12} , R^{13} , R^{23} the maps of $\text{End}_k(M \otimes M \otimes M)$ given by

$$R^{12} = R \otimes I, \quad R^{23} = I \otimes R, \quad R^{13} = (I \otimes \tau)(R \otimes I)(I \otimes \tau).$$

2 The $\mathcal{D}$ -equation

We will introduce the following

Definition 2.1 *Let M be a vector space and $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$. We shall say that R is a solution for the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation if*

$$R^{12}R^{23} = R^{23}R^{12} \quad (3)$$

in $\text{End}_k(M \otimes M \otimes M)$.

Solving the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation is not an easy task. Even for the two-dimensional case, solving the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation is equivalent to solving a homogenous system of 64 nonlinear equations (see equation (5)).

Proposition 2.2 *Let M be a finite dimensional vector space and $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ a basis of M . Let $R, S \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ given by*

$$R(m_v \otimes m_u) = \sum_{i,j} x_{uv}^{ji} m_i \otimes m_j, \quad S(m_v \otimes m_u) = \sum_{i,j} y_{uv}^{ji} m_i \otimes m_j,$$

for all $u, v = 1, \dots, n$, where $(x_{uv}^{ji})_{i,j,u,v}, (y_{uv}^{ji})_{i,j,u,v}$ are two families of scalars of k . Then

$$R^{23}S^{12} = S^{12}R^{23}$$

if and only if

$$\sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} y_{lq}^{vp} = \sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} y_{\alpha q}^{ip} \quad (4)$$

for all $i, j, k, l, p, q = 1, \dots, n$. In particular, R is a solution for the $\mathcal{C}$ -equation if and only if

$$\sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} x_{lq}^{vp} = \sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} x_{\alpha q}^{ip} \quad (5)$$

for all $i, j, k, l, p, q = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof For $k, l, q = 1, \dots, n$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R^{23}S^{12}(m_q \otimes m_l \otimes m_k) &= R^{23}\left(\sum_{v,p} y_{lq}^{vp} m_p \otimes m_v \otimes m_k\right) \\ &= \sum_{v,p,i,j} x_{kv}^{ji} y_{lq}^{vp} m_p \otimes m_i \otimes m_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j,p} \left(\sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} y_{lq}^{vp}\right) m_p \otimes m_i \otimes m_j \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S^{12}R^{23}(m_q \otimes m_l \otimes m_k) &= S^{12}\left(\sum_{j,\alpha} x_{kl}^{j\alpha} m_q \otimes m_\alpha \otimes m_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{j,\alpha,p,i} x_{kl}^{j\alpha} y_{\alpha q}^{ip} m_p \otimes m_i \otimes m_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j,p} \left(\sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} y_{\alpha q}^{ip}\right) m_p \otimes m_i \otimes m_j \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the conclusion follows. $\square$

In the next proposition we shall evidence a few equations which are equivalent to the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation.

Proposition 2.3 *Let M be a vector space and $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation.
2. $T := R\tau$ is a solution of the equation:

$$T^{12}T^{13} = T^{23}T^{13}\tau^{(123)}$$

3. $U := \tau R$ is a solution of the equation:

$$U^{13}U^{23} = \tau^{(123)}U^{13}U^{12}$$

4. $W := \tau R\tau$ is a solution of the equation:

$$\tau^{(123)}W^{23}W^{13} = W^{12}W^{13}\tau^{(123)}$$

Proof $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$. As $R = T\tau$, R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation if and only if

$$T^{12}\tau^{12}T^{23}\tau^{23} = T^{23}\tau^{23}T^{12}\tau^{12}. \quad (6)$$

But

$$\tau^{12}T^{23}\tau^{23} = T^{13}\tau^{13}\tau^{12}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{23}T^{12}\tau^{12} = T^{13}\tau^{12}\tau^{13}.$$

Hence, the equation (6) is equivalent to

$$T^{12}T^{13}\tau^{13}\tau^{12} = T^{23}T^{13}\tau^{12}\tau^{13}.$$

Now, the conclusion follows because $\tau^{12}\tau^{13}\tau^{12}\tau^{13} = \tau^{(123)}$.

$1 \Leftrightarrow 3$. $R = \tau U$. Hence, R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation if and only if

$$\tau^{12}U^{12}\tau^{23}U^{23} = \tau^{23}U^{23}\tau^{12}U^{12}. \quad (7)$$

But

$$\tau^{12}U^{12}\tau^{23} = \tau^{23}\tau^{13}U^{13}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{23}U^{23}\tau^{12} = \tau^{23}\tau^{12}U^{13}.$$

Hence, the equation (7) is equivalent to

$$U^{13}U^{23} = \tau^{13}\tau^{12}U^{13}U^{12}$$

and we are done as $\tau^{13}\tau^{12} = \tau^{(123)}$.

1 $\Leftrightarrow$ 4. $R = \tau W \tau$. It follows that R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation if and only if

$$\tau^{12} W^{12} \tau^{12} \tau^{23} W^{23} \tau^{23} = \tau^{23} W^{23} \tau^{23} \tau^{12} W^{12} \tau^{12} \quad (8)$$

Using the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{12} \tau^{23} &= \tau^{13} \tau^{12}, & \tau^{23} \tau^{12} &= \tau^{13} \tau^{23}, \\ \tau^{12} W^{12} \tau^{13} &= \tau^{12} \tau^{13} W^{23}, & \tau^{12} W^{23} \tau^{23} &= W^{13} \tau^{12} \tau^{23} \\ \tau^{23} W^{23} \tau^{13} &= \tau^{23} \tau^{13} W^{12}, & \tau^{23} W^{12} \tau^{12} &= W^{13} \tau^{23} \tau^{12} \end{aligned}$$

the equation (8) is equivalent to

$$\tau^{12} \tau^{13} W^{23} W^{13} \tau^{12} \tau^{23} = \tau^{23} \tau^{13} W^{12} W^{13} \tau^{23} \tau^{12}.$$

The prove is done as

$$\tau^{13} \tau^{23} \tau^{12} \tau^{13} = \tau^{23} \tau^{12} \tau^{23} \tau^{12} = \tau^{(123)}.$$

□

Examples 2.4 1. Suppose that $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ is bijective. Then, R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation if and only if R^{-1} is.

2. Let $(m_i)_{i \in I}$ be a basis of M and $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a family of scalars of k . Then, $R : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M$, $R(m_i \otimes m_j) = a_{ij} m_i \otimes m_j$, for all $i, j \in I$, is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. In particular, the identity map $Id_{M \otimes M}$ is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation.

3. Let M be a finite dimensional vector space and u an automorphism of M . If R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation then ${}^u R := (u \otimes u) R (u \otimes u)^{-1}$ is also a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation.

4. Let $f, g \in \text{End}_k(M)$ and $R = f \otimes g$. Then R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation if and only if $fg = gf$.

Indeed, a direct computation shows that

$$R^{23} R^{12} = f \otimes fg \otimes g, \quad R^{12} R^{23} = f \otimes gf \otimes g$$

i.e. the conclusion follows.

In particular, we consider the example considered in [9] (pg. 339). Let M be a two-dimensional vector space with $\{m_1, m_2\}$ a basis. Let $f, g \in \text{End}_k(M)$ such that with respect to the given basis are:

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} b & c \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$$

where a, b, c are scalars of k . Then, $R = f \otimes g$, with respect to the ordonate basis $\{m_1 \otimes m_1, m_1 \otimes m_2, m_2 \otimes m_1, m_2 \otimes m_2\}$ of $M \otimes M$, is given by

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} ab & ac & b & c \\ 0 & ab & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & ab & ac \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ab \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

Then R is a solution for both the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation.

5. Let $R \in \mathcal{M}_4(k)$ given by

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & c & 0 \\ 0 & d & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$$

It can be proven, by a direct computation, that R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation if and only if $c = d = 0$. In particular, if $q \in k$, $q \neq 0$, $q \neq 1$, the two dimensional Yang-Baxter operator

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & q - q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}$$

is a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and is not a solution for the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation.

6. Let G be a group and M be a left $k[G]$ -module. Suppose that there exists $\{M_\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\}$ a family of $k[G]$ -submodules of M such that $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} M_\sigma$. If $m \in M$, then m is a finite sum of homogenous elements $m = \sum m_\sigma$. The map

$$R : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M, \quad R(n \otimes m) = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma \cdot n \otimes m_\sigma, \quad \forall n, m \in M \quad (10)$$

is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. Furthermore, if G is non-abelian, R is not a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation.

Indeed, it is enough to prove that (3) holds only for homogenous elements. Let $m_\sigma \in M_\sigma$, $m_\tau \in M_\tau$ and $m_\theta \in M_\theta$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} R^{23}R^{12}(m_\sigma \otimes m_\tau \otimes m_\theta) &= R^{23}(\tau \cdot m_\sigma \otimes m_\tau \otimes m_\theta) \\ &= \tau \cdot m_\sigma \otimes \theta \cdot m_\tau \otimes m_\theta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R^{12}R^{23}(m_\sigma \otimes m_\tau \otimes m_\theta) &= R^{12}(m_\sigma \otimes \theta \cdot m_\tau \otimes m_\theta) \\ (\theta \cdot m_\tau \in M_\tau) &= \tau \cdot m_\sigma \otimes \theta \cdot m_\tau \otimes m_\theta \end{aligned}$$

Hence R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. On the other hand

$$R^{12}R^{13}R^{23}(m_\sigma \otimes m_\tau \otimes m_\theta) = \tau\theta \cdot m_\sigma \otimes \theta \cdot m_\tau \otimes m_\theta$$

and

$$R^{23}R^{13}R^{12}(m_\sigma \otimes m_\tau \otimes m_\theta) = \theta\tau \cdot m_\sigma \otimes \theta \cdot m_\tau \otimes m_\theta$$

Hence, if G is a non-abelian group, then R is not a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation.

3 Categories of Long dimodules and the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation

We shall start this section by adapting a definition given by Long in [10] for a commutative and cocommutative bialgebra to the case of an arbitrary bialgebra.

Definition 3.1 *Let H be a bialgebra. A Long H -dimodule is a threetuple $(M, \cdot, \rho)$, where $(M, \cdot)$ is a left H -module, (M, ρ) is a right H -comodule such that the following compatibility condition holds:*

$$\rho(h \cdot m) = \sum h \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} \quad (11)$$

for all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$.

The category of H -dimodules over H and H -linear H -colinear maps will be denoted by ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$.

Examples 3.2 1. Let G be a group and $H = k[G]$ be the groupal Hopf algebra. Let $(M, \cdot)$ be a left $k[G]$ -module. Then, $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ is an object in ${}_k[G]\mathcal{L}^{k[G]}$ if and only if there exists $\{M_\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\}$ a family of $k[G]$ -submodules of M such that $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} M_\sigma$.

Indeed, (M, ρ) is a right $k[G]$ -comodule if and only if there exists $\{M_\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\}$ a family of k -subspaces of M such that $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} M_\sigma$ (see [12]). Recall that, for $\sigma \in G$,

$$m_\sigma \in M_\sigma \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \rho(m_\sigma) = m_\sigma \otimes \sigma.$$

Now, let $g \in G$ and $m_\sigma \in M_\sigma$. The compatibility condition (11) turn into $\rho(g \cdot m_\sigma) = g \cdot m_\sigma \otimes \sigma$ which is equivalent to $g \cdot M_\sigma \subseteq M_\sigma$ for any $g \in G$, i.e. M_σ is a left $k[G]$ -submodule of M for all $\sigma \in G$.

In fact, we have proven that the categories ${}_k[G]\mathcal{L}^{k[G]}$ and $\left({}_k[G]\mathcal{M}\right)^{(G)}$ are equivalent.

Let us now suppose that M is a decomposable representation on G with the Long length smaller or equal to the cardinal of G . Let X be a subset of G and $\{M_x \mid x \in X\}$ a family of indecomposable $k[G]$ -submodules of M such that $M = \bigoplus_{x \in X} M_x$. Then M is a right comodule over $k[X]$, and as $X \subseteq G$, M can be viewed as a right $k[G]$ -comodule. Hence, $M \in {}_k[G]\mathcal{L}^{k[G]}$. We obtain that the category of representations on G with the Long length smaller or equal to the cardinal of G can be viewed as a subcategory of ${}_k[G]\mathcal{L}^{k[G]}$.

2. Let $(N, \cdot)$ be a left H -module. Then $N \otimes H$ is an object in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ with the structures:

$$h \bullet (n \otimes l) := h \cdot n \otimes l, \quad \rho(n \otimes l) = \sum n \otimes l_{(1)} \otimes l_{(2)}$$

for all $h, l \in H, n \in N$. Hence, we have constructed a functor

$$\bullet \otimes H : {}_H\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{L}^H.$$

3. Let (M, ρ) be a right H -comodule. Then $H \otimes M$ is an object in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ with the structures:

$$h \bullet (l \otimes m) := hl \otimes m, \quad \rho_{H \otimes M}(l \otimes m) := \sum l \otimes m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>}$$

for all $h, l \in H, m \in M$. Hence, we obtain a functor

$$H \otimes \bullet : \mathcal{M}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{L}^H.$$

4. Let $(N, \cdot)$ be a left H -module. Then, with the trivial structure of right H -comodule, $\rho : N \rightarrow N \otimes H, \rho(n) := n \otimes 1$, for all $n \in N$, $(N, \cdot, \rho)$ is an object in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$.
5. Let (M, ρ) be a right H -comodule. Then, with the trivial structure of left H -module, $h \cdot m := \varepsilon(h)m$, for all $h \in H, m \in M$, $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ is an object in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$.

Remarks 3.3 1. In the study of the category ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ an important role is played by the forgetful functors:

$$F^H : {}_H\mathcal{L}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{M} \quad \text{and} \quad {}_HF : {}_H\mathcal{L}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^H$$

where F^H (resp. ${}_HF$) is the functor forgetting the H -comodule (resp. H -module) structure.

The principle according to which forgetful type functors have adjoints is also valid for the category ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$. We have:

1. The functor $H \otimes \bullet : \mathcal{M}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is a left adjoint of the functor ${}_HF : {}_H\mathcal{L}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^H$.
2. The functor $\bullet \otimes H : {}_H\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is a right adjoint of the functor $F^H : {}_H\mathcal{L}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{M}$.

The adjoint pair $(H \otimes \bullet, {}_HF)$ is given by the following natural transformation:

$$\eta : Id_{\mathcal{M}^H} \rightarrow {}_HF \circ (H \otimes \bullet), \quad \eta_N : N \rightarrow H \otimes N, \quad \eta_N(n) := 1 \otimes n, \quad \forall n \in N$$

$$\theta : (H \otimes \bullet) \circ {}_HF \rightarrow Id_{{}_H\mathcal{L}^H}, \quad \theta_M : H \otimes M \rightarrow M, \quad \theta_M(h \otimes m) := hm, \quad \forall h \in H, m \in M$$

where $N \in \mathcal{M}^H, M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$.

The adjoint pair $(F^H, \bullet \otimes H)$ is given by the following natural transformation:

$$\eta : Id_{{}_H\mathcal{L}^H} \rightarrow (\bullet \otimes H) \circ F^H, \quad \eta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes H, \quad \eta_M(m) := \sum m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>}, \quad \forall m \in M$$

$$\theta : F^H \circ (\bullet \otimes H) \rightarrow Id_{{}_H\mathcal{M}}, \quad \theta_N : N \otimes H \rightarrow N, \quad \theta_N(n \otimes h) := \varepsilon(h)n, \quad \forall h \in H, n \in N$$

where $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H, N \in {}_H\mathcal{M}$.

Now, using the general properties of pairs of adjoint functors we obtain (see Corollary 2.7 of [3] for a similar results regarding the Yetter-Drinfel'd category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$):

1. the functor $\bullet \otimes H : {}_H\mathcal{M} \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ preserves the injective cogenerators. In particular, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H$ is an injective cogenerator of ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$.
2. the functor $H \otimes \bullet : \mathcal{M}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ preserves generators. In particular, if H is cofrobenius as a coalgebra, then $H \otimes H$ is a projective generator of ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$.

2. If $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, then $M \otimes N$ is also an object in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ with the natural structures

$$h \bullet (m \otimes n) = \sum h_{(1)} \cdot m \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot n, \quad \rho(m \otimes n) = \sum m_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} n_{<1>}$$

for all $h \in H, m \in M, n \in N$. k can be view as an object in ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ with the trivial structures

$$h \cdot a = \varepsilon(h)a, \quad \rho(a) = a \otimes 1$$

for all $h \in H, a \in k$. It is easy to see that $({}_H\mathcal{L}^H, \otimes, k)$ is a monoidal category. This can also be obtained from Proposition 2.1 of [5], keeping in mind that the category ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ is a special case of the Doi-Hopf modules category.

3. If $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$, then M is a left $H \otimes H^*$ -module with the structure

$$(h \otimes h^*) \cdot m := \sum \langle h^*, m_{<1>} \rangle hm_{<0>}$$

for all $h \in H, h^* \in H^*$ and $m \in M$. Furthermore, if H is finite dimensional the categories ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ and ${}_{H \otimes H^*}\mathcal{M}$ are equivalent.

4. The category ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ can be generalized to a category ${}_A\mathcal{L}^C$. Let A be an algebra and C be a coalgebra over k . Then an object in ${}_A\mathcal{L}^C$ is a threetuple $(M, \cdot, \rho)$, where $(M, \cdot)$ is a left A -module, (M, ρ) is a right C -comodule such that the following compatibility condition holds:

$$\rho(a \cdot m) = \sum a \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} \quad (12)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$.

Let X be a set and $C = k[X]$ be the groupal coalgebra. Then $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ is an object in ${}_A\mathcal{L}^{k[X]}$ if and only if there exists $\{M_x \mid x \in X\}$ a family of A -submodules of M such that $M = \oplus_{x \in X} M_x$. Hence, the category ${}_A\mathcal{L}^C$ can be seen as a generalization of the category of decomposable left A -modules.

The next proposition evidences the role which can be played by the category ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ in solving the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation.

Proposition 3.4 *Let H be a bialgebra and $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ be a Long H -dimodule. Then the natural map*

$$R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}(m \otimes n) = \sum n_{<1>} \cdot m \otimes n_{<0>}$$

is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation.

Proof Let $R = R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$. For $l, m, n \in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{12}R^{23}(l \otimes m \otimes n) &= R^{12}\left(\sum l \otimes n_{<1>} \cdot m \otimes n_{<0>}\right) \\ &= \sum (n_{<1>} \cdot m)_{<1>} \cdot l \otimes (n_{<1>} \cdot m)_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>} \\ (\text{using (11)}) &= \sum m_{<1>} \cdot l \otimes n_{<1>} \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>} \\ &= R^{23}\left(\sum m_{<1>} \cdot l \otimes m_{<0>} \otimes n\right) \\ &= R^{23}R^{12}(l \otimes m \otimes n) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. $\square$

Lemma 3.5 *Let H be a bialgebra, $(M, \cdot)$ a left H -module and (M, ρ) a right H -comodule. Then the set*

$$\{h \in H \mid \rho(h \cdot m) = \sum h \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>}, \forall m \in M\}$$

is a subalgebra of H .

Proof Straightforward. $\square$

We obtain from this lemma that if a left H -module and right H -comodule M satisfies the condition of compatibility (11) for a set of generators as an algebra of H and for a basis of M , then M is a Long H -dimodule.

Now we shall prove the main results of this section. By a FRT type theorem, we shall prove that in the finite dimensional case, any solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation has the form $R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$, where $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ is a Long $D(R)$ -dimodule over a special bialgebra $D(R)$.

Theorem 3.6 *Let M be a finite dimensional vector space and $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ be a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. Then:*

1. *There exists a bialgebra $D(R)$ such that $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ has a structure of object in ${}_{D(R)}\mathcal{L}^{D(R)}$ and $R = R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$.*
2. *The bialgebra $D(R)$ is a universal object with this property: if H is a bialgebra such that $(M, \cdot', \rho') \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ and $R = R_{(M, \cdot', \rho')}$ then there exists a unique bialgebra map $f : D(R) \rightarrow H$ such that $\rho' = (I \otimes f)\rho$. Furthermore, $a \cdot m = f(a) \cdot' m$, for all $a \in D(R)$, $m \in M$.*

Proof 1. Let $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ be a basis for M and $(x_{uv}^{ji})_{i,j,u,v}$ a family of scalars of k such that

$$R(m_v \otimes m_u) = \sum_{i,j} x_{uv}^{ji} m_i \otimes m_j \quad (13)$$

for all $u, v = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon) = \mathcal{M}^n(k)$, be the comatrix coalgebra of order n . Let $\rho : M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ given by

$$\rho(m_l) = \sum_{v=1}^n m_v \otimes c_{vl} \quad (14)$$

for all $l = 1, \dots, n$. Then, M is a right C -comodule. Let $T(C)$ be the unique bialgebra structure on the tensor algebra $T(C)$ which extends Δ and ε . As the inclusion $i : C \rightarrow T(C)$ is a coalgebra map, M has a right $T(C)$ -comodule structure via

$$M \xrightarrow{\rho} M \otimes C \xrightarrow{I \otimes i} M \otimes T(C)$$

There will be no confusion if we also denote the right $T(C)$ -comodule structure on M with ρ .

Now, we will put a left $T(C)$ -module structure on M in such a way that $R = R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$. First we define the k -bilinear map

$$\mu : C \otimes M \rightarrow M, \quad \mu(c_{ju} \otimes m_v) := \sum_i x_{uv}^{ji} m_i$$

for all $j, u, v = 1, \dots, n$. Using the universal property of the tensor algebra $T(C)$, there exists a unique left $T(C)$ -module structure on $(M, \cdot)$ such that

$$c_{ju} \cdot m_v = \mu(c_{ju} \otimes m_v) = \sum_i x_{uv}^{ji} m_i$$

for all $j, u, v = 1, \dots, n$. For m_v, m_u the elements of the given basis, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}(m_v \otimes m_u) &= \sum_j c_{ju} \cdot m_v \otimes m_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j} x_{uv}^{ji} m_i \otimes m_j \\ &= R(m_v \otimes m_u) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(M, \cdot, \rho)$ has a structure of left $T(C)$ -module and right $T(C)$ -comodule such that $R = R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$.

Now, we define the *obstructions* $o(i, j, k, l)$ which measure how far away M is from a Long $T(C)$ -dimodule. Keeping in mind that $T(C)$ is generated as an algebra by (c_{ij}) and using lemma 3.5 we compute

$$\sum h \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} - \rho(h \cdot m)$$

only for $h = c_{jk}$, and $m = m_l$, for $j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum h \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} &= \sum c_{jk} \cdot (m_l)_{<0>} \otimes (m_l)_{<1>} \\ &= \sum_v c_{jk} \cdot m_v \otimes c_{vl} \\ &= \sum_{v,i} x_{kv}^{ji} m_i \otimes c_{vl} \\ &= \sum_i m_i \otimes \left(\sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} c_{vl} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(h \cdot m) &= \rho(c_{jk} \cdot m_l) \\ &= \sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} (m_\alpha)_{<0>} \otimes (m_\alpha)_{<1>} \\ &= \sum_{i,\alpha} x_{kl}^{j\alpha} m_i \otimes c_{i\alpha} \\ &= \sum_i m_i \otimes \left(\sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} c_{i\alpha} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$o(i, j, k, l) := \sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} c_{vl} - \sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} c_{i\alpha} \quad (15)$$

for all $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\sum h \cdot m_{<0>} \otimes m_{<1>} - \rho(h \cdot m) = \sum_i m_i \otimes o(i, j, k, l) \quad (16)$$

Let I be the two-sided ideal of $T(C)$ generated by all $o(i, j, k, l)$, $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$. Then: I is a bi-ideal of $T(C)$ and $I \cdot M = 0$.

We first prove that I is also a coideal and this will result from the following formula:

$$\Delta(o(i, j, k, l)) = \sum_u (o(i, j, k, u) \otimes c_{ul} + c_{iu} \otimes o(u, j, k, l)) \quad (17)$$

First, we denote that the formula (15) can be written

$$o(i, j, k, l) := \sum_v (x_{kv}^{ji} c_{vl} - x_{kl}^{jv} c_{iv})$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(o(i, j, k, l)) &= \sum_{u,v} (x_{kv}^{ji} c_{vu} \otimes c_{ul} - x_{kl}^{jv} c_{iu} \otimes c_{uv}) \\ &= \sum_u \left(\sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} c_{vu} \right) \otimes c_{ul} - \sum_u c_{iu} \otimes \left(\sum_v x_{kl}^{jv} c_{uv} \right) \\ &= \sum_u \left(o(i, j, k, u) + \sum_v x_{ku}^{jv} c_{iv} \right) \otimes c_{ul} \\ &\quad - \sum_u c_{iu} \otimes \left(-o(u, j, k, l) + \sum_v x_{kv}^{ju} c_{vl} \right) \\ &= \sum_u \left(o(i, j, k, u) \otimes c_{ul} + c_{iu} \otimes o(u, j, k, l) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we use the fact that

$$\sum_{u,v} x_{ku}^{jv} c_{iv} \otimes c_{ul} = \sum_{u,v} x_{kv}^{ju} c_{iu} \otimes c_{vl}$$

Hence, the formula (17) holds. On the other hand

$$\varepsilon(o(i, j, k, l)) = x_{kl}^{ji} - x_{kl}^{ji} = 0$$

so we proved that I is a coideal of $T(C)$.

Now, we shall prove that $I \cdot M = 0$ using the fact that R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. Let $n \in M$ and $j, k = 1, \dots, n$. We have

$$(R^{23}R^{12} - R^{12}R^{23})(n \otimes m_k \otimes m_j) = \sum_{r,s} o(r, s, j, k) \cdot n \otimes m_r \otimes m_s \quad (18)$$

Let us compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(R^{23}R^{12})(n \otimes m_k \otimes m_j) &= R^{23}(\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha k} \cdot n \otimes m_{\alpha} \otimes m_j) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, s} c_{\alpha k} \cdot n \otimes c_{sj} \cdot m_{\alpha} \otimes m_s \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, r, s} c_{\alpha k} \cdot n \otimes x_{j\alpha}^{sr} m_r \otimes m_s \\
&= \sum_{r, s} \left(\sum_{\alpha} x_{j\alpha}^{sr} c_{\alpha k} \right) \cdot n \otimes m_r \otimes m_s
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
(R^{12}R^{23})(n \otimes m_k \otimes m_j) &= R^{12}(\sum_s n \otimes c_{sj} \cdot m_k \otimes m_s) \\
&= R^{12}(\sum_{s, \alpha} n \otimes x_{jk}^{s\alpha} m_{\alpha} \otimes m_s) \\
&= \sum_{r, s, \alpha} x_{jk}^{s\alpha} c_{r\alpha} \cdot n \otimes m_r \otimes m_s
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(R^{23}R^{12} - R^{12}R^{23})(n \otimes m_k \otimes m_j) &= \sum_{r, s} \left(\sum_{\alpha} x_{j\alpha}^{sr} c_{\alpha k} - \sum_{\alpha} x_{jk}^{s\alpha} c_{r\alpha} \right) \cdot n \otimes m_r \otimes m_s \\
&= \sum_{r, s} o(r, s, j, k) \cdot n \otimes m_r \otimes m_s
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. the formula (18) holds. But R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation, hence $o(r, s, j, k) \cdot n = 0$, for all $n \in M$, $j, k, r, s = 1, \dots, n$. We conclude that I is a bi-ideal of $T(C)$ and $I \cdot M = 0$. Define now

$$D(R) = T(C)/I$$

M has a right $D(R)$ -comodule structure via the canonical projection $T(C) \rightarrow D(R)$ and a left $D(R)$ -module structure as $I \cdot M = 0$. As (c_{ij}) generate $D(R)$ and in $D(R)$, $o(i, j, k, l) = 0$, for all $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$, using (16) we get that $(M, \cdot, \rho) \in {}_{D(R)}\mathcal{L}^{D(R)}$ and $R = R_{(M, \cdot, \rho)}$.

2. Let H be a bialgebra and suppose that $(M, \cdot', \rho') \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ and $R = R_{(M, \cdot', \rho')}$. Let $(c'_{ij})_{i, j=1, \dots, n}$ be a family of elements of H such that

$$\rho'(m_l) = \sum_v m_v \otimes c'_{vl}$$

Then

$$R(m_v \otimes m_u) = \sum_j c'_{ju} \cdot' m_v \otimes m_j$$

and

$$c'_{ju} \cdot' m_v = \sum_i x_{uv}^{ji} m_i = c_{ju} \cdot m_v.$$

Let

$$o'(i, j, k, l) = \sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} c'_{vl} - \sum_{\alpha} x_{kl}^{j\alpha} c'_{i\alpha}$$

From the universal property of the tensor algebra $T(C)$, there exists a unique algebra map $f_1 : T(C) \rightarrow H$ such that $f_1(c_{ij}) = c'_{ij}$, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. As $(M, \cdot, \rho') \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ we get that $\rho'(i, j, k, l) = 0$, and hence $f_1(\rho(i, j, k, l)) = 0$, for all $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$. So the map f_1 factorizes to the map

$$f : D(R) \rightarrow H, \quad f(c_{ij}) = c'_{ij}$$

Of course, for m_l an arbitrary element of the given basis of M , we have

$$(I \otimes f)\rho(m_l) = \sum_v m_v \otimes f(c_{vl}) = \sum_v m_v \otimes c'_{vl} = \rho'(m_l)$$

Conversely, the relation $(I \otimes f)\rho = \rho'$ necessarily implies $f(c_{ij}) = c'_{ij}$, which proves the uniqueness of f . This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Remark 3.7 The obstruction elements $\rho(i, j, k, l)$ are different from the homogenous elements $d(i, j, k, l)$ defined in [13] which correspond to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, and are also different from the obstruction elements $\chi(i, j, k, l)$ which appear in [11] in connexion with the Hopf equation. The main difference consists in the fact that in the graded algebra $T(\mathcal{M}^n(k))$ the elements $\rho(i, j, k, l)$ are of degree one, i.e. are elements of the comatrix coalgebra $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$. This will lead us in the next section to the study of some special functions defined only for a coalgebra, which will also play an important role in solving the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation.

Examples 3.8 1. Let $a, b, c \in k$ and $R \in \mathcal{M}_4(k)$ given by equation (9), which is a solution for both the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. In [9], if $ab \neq 0, ac+b \neq 0$ a labour-intensive computation will give a description of the bialgebra $A(R)$, obtained looking at R as a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation.

Below we shall describe the bialgebra $D(R)$, which is obtained considering R as a solution for the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. If $(b, c) = (0, 0)$ then $R = 0$ i.e. $D(R) = T(\mathcal{M}^4(k))$. Suppose now that $(b, c) \neq (0, 0)$. If we write

$$R(m_v \otimes m_u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 x_{uv}^{ji} m_i \otimes m_j$$

we get that among the elements (x_{uv}^{ji}) , the only nonzero elements are:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{11}^{11} &= ab, & x_{21}^{11} &= ac, & x_{21}^{21} &= ab, & x_{12}^{11} &= b, \\ x_{12}^{12} &= ab, & x_{21}^{11} &= c, & x_{22}^{21} &= b, & x_{22}^{12} &= ac, & x_{22}^{22} &= ab \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The sixteen relation $\rho(i, j, k, l) = 0$, written in the lexicographical order according to (i, j, k, l) , starting with $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} abc_{11} + bc_{21} &= abc_{11}, & abc_{12} + bc_{22} &= bc_{11} + abc_{12} \\ acc_{11} + cc_{21} &= acc_{11}, & acc_{12} + cc_{22} &= cc_{11} + acc_{12} \\ 0 &= 0, & 0 &= 0, & abc_{11} + bc_{21} &= abc_{11}, & abc_{12} + bc_{22} &= bc_{11} + abc_{12} \end{aligned}$$

$$abc_{21} = abc_{21}, \quad abc_{22} = abc_{22}, \quad acc_{21} = acc_{21}, \quad acc_{22} = cc_{21} + acc_{22}$$

$$0 = 0, \quad 0 = 0, \quad abc_{21} = abc_{21}, \quad abc_{22} = abc_{22} + bc_{21}$$

It remains only the four relation

$$bc_{21} = 0, \quad bc_{22} = bc_{11}, \quad cc_{21} = 0, \quad cc_{22} = cc_{11}$$

As, $(b, c) \neq (0, 0)$, there are only two linear independent relation:

$$c_{21} = 0, \quad c_{22} = c_{11}$$

Now, if we denote $c_{11} = x$, $c_{12} = y$ we obtain that $D(R)$ can be described as follows:

- as an algebra $D(R) = k \langle x, y \rangle$, the free algebra generated by x and y .
- The comultiplication Δ and the counity ε are given by

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes x, \quad \Delta(y) = x \otimes y + y \otimes x, \quad \varepsilon(x) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(y) = 0.$$

We observe that the bialgebra $D(R)$ does not depend on the parameters a, b, c .

2. Let $q \in k$ be a scalar and $R_q \in \mathcal{M}_4(k)$ given by

$$R_q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q & 0 & -q^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then R_q is a solution of the Hopf equation (cf. [11]), and of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation, as R_q has the form $f \otimes g$ with $fg = gf$. In [11] we have described the bialgebra $B(R_q)$ which arises thinking of R_q as a solution of the Hopf equation. The bialgebra $D(R_q)$ obtained by viewing R_q as a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation has a much simpler description and is independent of q .

- as an algebra $D(R_q) = k \langle x, y \rangle$, the free algebra generated by x and y .
- The comultiplication Δ and the counity ε are given in such a way x and y are groupal elements

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes x, \quad \Delta(y) = y \otimes y \quad \varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(y) = 1.$$

The above description can be obtained through a computation similar to the one from the previous example. Among the sixteen relations $o(i, j, k, l) = 0$, the only linear independent ones are:

$$c_{21} = 0, \quad c_{12} = q(c_{11} - c_{22})$$

If we denote $c_{11} = x$, $c_{22} = y$ the conclusion follows.

3. We shall now present an example which has a geometric flavour. Let $f \in \text{End}_k(k^2)$, $f((x, y)) = (x, 0)$ for all $(x, y) \in k^2$, i.e. f is the projection of the plane k^2 on the Ox axis. With respect to the canonical basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of k^2 , f has the form

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$g \in \text{End}_k(k^2)$ commute with f if and only if with respect to the canonical basis, g has the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a, b \in k$. Then $R = f \otimes g$ with respect to the ordonate basis $\{e_1 \otimes e_1, e_1 \otimes e_2, e_2 \otimes e_1, e_2 \otimes e_2\}$ is given by

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We shall describe the bialgebra $D(R)$. Suppose $(a, b) \neq 0$ (otherwise $R = 0$ and $D(R) = T(\mathcal{M}^4(k))$). Among the sixteen relations $o(i, j, k, l) = 0$, the only linear independent ones are:

$$ac_{12} = ac_{21} = bc_{12} = bc_{21} = 0$$

As $(a, b) \neq 0$ we obtain $c_{12} = c_{21} = 0$. Now, if we denote $c_{11} = x$, $c_{22} = y$ we get that the bialgebra $D(R)$ is exactly the bialgebra described in the previous example.

4 Special functions on a coalgebra and the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation

In this section we shall introduce the concept of $\mathcal{D}$ -map on a coalgebra: if C is a coalgebra and I a coideal of C , then a $\mathcal{D}$ -map is a k -bilinear map $\sigma : C \otimes C/I \rightarrow k$ which satisfies the equation (20) presented below. This condition ensures that, for any right C -comodule M , the natural map R_σ is a solution for the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. Conversely, in the finite dimensional case, any solution for the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation arises in this way.

If C is a coalgebra and I is a coideal of C then the elements of the quotient C/I will be denoted by $\bar{c}$. If (M, ρ) is a right C -comodule, then $(M, \bar{\rho})$ is a right C/I -comodule via $\bar{\rho}(m) = \sum m_{<0>} \otimes \overline{m_{<1>}}$, for all $m \in M$.

Definition 4.1 Let C be a coalgebra and I be a coideal of C . A k -bilinear map $\sigma : C \otimes C/I \rightarrow k$ is called a $\mathcal{D}$ -map if

$$\sum \sigma(c_{(1)} \otimes \bar{d}) \bar{c}_{(2)} = \sum \sigma(c_{(2)} \otimes \bar{d}) \bar{c}_{(1)} \quad (20)$$

for all $c \in C$, $\bar{d} \in C/I$. If $I = 0$, then σ is called strongly $\mathcal{D}$ -map.

Examples 4.2 1. If C is cocommutative then any k -bilinear map $\sigma : C \otimes C/I \rightarrow k$ is a $\mathcal{D}$ -map.

2. Let C be a coalgebra, I be a coideal of C and $f \in \text{Hom}_k(C/I, k)$. Then

$$\sigma_f : C \otimes C/I \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma_f(c \otimes \bar{d}) := \varepsilon(c)f(\bar{d})$$

for all $c \in C$, $\bar{d} \in C/I$, is a $\mathcal{D}$ -map. In particular, $\sigma : C \otimes C/I \rightarrow k$, $\sigma(c \otimes \bar{d}) := \varepsilon(c)\varepsilon(\bar{d})$, for all $c \in C$, $\bar{d} \in C/I$, is a $\mathcal{D}$ -map.

3. Let $C := \mathcal{M}^n(k)$ be the comatrix coalgebra of order n . Let $a \in k$ be a scalar of k . Then the map

$$\sigma : C \otimes C \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma(c_{ij} \otimes c_{pq}) := \delta_{ij}a$$

for all $i, j, p, q = 1, \dots, n$ is a strongly $\mathcal{D}$ -map.

Indeed, for $c = c_{ij}$, $d = c_{pq}$ we have

$$\sum \sigma(c_{(1)} \otimes d)c_{(2)} = \sum_t \sigma(c_{it} \otimes c_{pq})c_{tj} = ac_{ij},$$

and

$$\sum \sigma(c_{(2)} \otimes d)c_{(1)} = \sum_t \sigma(c_{tj} \otimes c_{pq})c_{it} = ac_{ij},$$

for all $i, j, p, q = 1, \dots, n$.

Proposition 4.3 *Let C be a coalgebra, I be a coideal of C and $\sigma : C \otimes C/I \rightarrow k$ a $\mathcal{D}$ -map. Let (M, ρ) be a right C -comodule. Then, the special map*

$$R_\sigma : M \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes M, \quad R_\sigma(m \otimes n) = \sum \sigma(m_{<1>} \otimes \overline{n_{<1>}})m_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>}$$

is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation.

Proof Let $l, m, n \in M$ and put $R = R_\sigma$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{12}R^{23}(l \otimes m \otimes n) &= R^{12}\left(\sum \sigma(m_{<1>} \otimes \overline{n_{<1>}})l \otimes m_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>}\right) \\ &= \sum \sigma(m_{<1>} \otimes \overline{n_{<1>}})\sigma(l_{<1>} \otimes \overline{m_{<0><1>}})l_{<0>} \otimes m_{<0><0>} \otimes n_{<0>} \\ &= \sum \sigma(m_{<1>(2)} \otimes \overline{n_{<1>}})\sigma(l_{<1>} \otimes \overline{m_{<1>(1)}})l_{<0>} \otimes m_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>} \\ &= \sum \sigma(l_{<1>} \otimes \sigma(m_{<1>(2)} \otimes \overline{n_{<1>}})\overline{m_{<1>(1)}})l_{<0>} \otimes m_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>} \\ (\text{using (20)}) &= \sum \sigma(l_{<1>} \otimes \sigma(m_{<1>(1)} \otimes \overline{n_{<1>}})\overline{m_{<1>(2)}})l_{<0>} \otimes m_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>} \\ &= \sum \sigma(l_{<1>} \otimes \overline{m_{<2>}})\sigma(m_{<1>} \otimes \overline{n_{<1>}})l_{<0>} \otimes m_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R^{23}R^{12}(l \otimes m \otimes n) &= R^{23}\left(\sum \sigma(l_{<1>} \otimes \overline{m_{<1>}})l_{<0>} \otimes m_{<0>} \otimes n\right) \\ &= \sum \sigma(l_{<1>} \otimes \overline{m_{<1>}})\sigma(m_{<0><1>} \otimes \overline{n_{<1>}})l_{<0>} \otimes m_{<0><0>} \otimes n_{<0>} \\ &= \sum \sigma(l_{<1>} \otimes \overline{m_{<2>}})\sigma(m_{<1>} \otimes \overline{n_{<1>}})l_{<0>} \otimes m_{<0>} \otimes n_{<0>} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. R_σ is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. □

Theorem 4.4 *Let n be a positive integer number, M be a n dimensional vector space and $R \in \text{End}_k(M \otimes M)$ a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation. Then:*

1. There exist a coideal $I(R)$ of the comatrix coalgebra $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$ and a unique $\mathcal{D}$ -map

$$\sigma : \mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R) \rightarrow k$$

such that $R = R_\sigma$. Furthermore, if R is bijective, σ is invertible in the convolution algebra $\text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R), k)$.

2. If $R\tau = \tau R$, then there exists a coalgebra $C(R)$ and a unique strongly $\mathcal{D}$ -map

$$\tilde{\sigma} : C(R) \otimes C(R) \rightarrow k$$

such that M has a structure of right $C(R)$ -comodule and $R = R_{\tilde{\sigma}}$.

Proof 1. Let $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ be a basis for M and $(x_{uv}^{ji})_{i,j,u,v}$ a family of scalars of k such that

$$R(m_v \otimes m_u) = \sum_{i,j} x_{uv}^{ji} m_i \otimes m_j \quad (21)$$

for all $u, v = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$ be the comatrix coalgebra of order n . M has a right $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$ -comodule structure given by

$$\rho(m_l) = \sum_{v=1}^n m_v \otimes c_{vl}$$

for all $l = 1, \dots, n$. Let $I(R)$ be the k -subspace of $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$ generated by all $o(i, j, k, l)$, $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$. From equation (17), $I(R)$ is a coideal of $\mathcal{M}^n(k)$.

First we shall prove the uniqueness. Let $\sigma : \mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R) \rightarrow k$ be a $\mathcal{D}$ -map such that $R = R_\sigma$. Let $u, v = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_\sigma(m_v \otimes m_u) &= \sum \sigma((m_v)_{<1>} \otimes \overline{(m_u)_{<1>}})(m_v)_{<0>} \otimes (m_u)_{<0>} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \sigma(c_{iv} \otimes \overline{c_{ju}}) m_i \otimes m_j \end{aligned}$$

Hence $R_\sigma(m_v \otimes m_u) = R(m_v \otimes m_u)$ gives us

$$\sigma(c_{iv} \otimes \overline{c_{ju}}) = x_{uv}^{ji} \quad (22)$$

for all $i, j, u, v = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, the equation (22) ensure the uniqueness of σ .

Now we shall prove the existence of σ . First we define

$$\sigma_0 : \mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k) \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma_0(c_{iv} \otimes c_{ju}) = x_{uv}^{ji}$$

for all $i, j, u, v = 1, \dots, n$. In order to prove that σ_0 factorizes to a map $\sigma : \mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R) \rightarrow k$, we have to show that $\sigma_0(\mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes I(R)) = 0$. For $i, j, k, l, p, q = 1, \dots, n$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(c_{pq} \otimes o(i, j, k, l)) &= \sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} \sigma_0(c_{pq} \otimes c_{vl}) - \sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} \sigma_0(c_{pq} \otimes c_{i\alpha}) \\ &= \sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} x_{lq}^{vp} - \sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} x_{\alpha q}^{ip} \\ (\text{from (5)}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have constructed $\sigma : \mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R) \rightarrow k$ such that $R = R_\sigma$. It remains to prove that σ is a $\mathcal{D}$ -map. Let $c = c_{ij}$, $\bar{d} = \overline{c_{pq}}$. We have:

$$\sum \sigma(c_{(1)} \otimes \bar{d}) \overline{c_{(2)}} = \sum_v \sigma(c_{iv} \otimes \overline{c_{pq}}) \overline{c_{vj}} = \sum_v x_{qv}^{pi} \overline{c_{vj}}$$

and

$$\sum \sigma(c_{(2)} \otimes \bar{d}) \overline{c_{(1)}} = \sum_\alpha \sigma(c_{\alpha j} \otimes \overline{c_{pq}}) \overline{c_{i\alpha}} = \sum_\alpha x_{qj}^{p\alpha} \overline{c_{i\alpha}}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum \sigma(c_{(1)} \otimes \bar{d}) \overline{c_{(2)}} - \sum \sigma(c_{(2)} \otimes \bar{d}) \overline{c_{(1)}} = \overline{o(i, p, q, j)} = 0,$$

i.e. σ is a $\mathcal{D}$ -map.

Suppose now that R is bijective and let $S = R^{-1}$. Let (y_{uv}^{ji}) be a family of scalars of k such that

$$S(m_v \otimes m_u) = \sum_{i,j} y_{uv}^{ji} m_i \otimes m_j,$$

for all $u, v = 1, \dots, n$. As $RS = SR = Id_{M \otimes M}$ we have

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} x_{\beta\alpha}^{ip} y_{jq}^{\beta\alpha} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{pq}, \quad \sum_{\alpha,\beta} y_{\beta\alpha}^{ip} x_{jq}^{\beta\alpha} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{pq}$$

for all $i, j, p, q = 1, \dots, n$. We define

$$\sigma'_0 : \mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k) \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma'_0(c_{iv} \otimes c_{ju}) := y_{uv}^{ji}$$

for all $i, j, u, v = 1, \dots, n$. First we prove that σ'_0 is an inverse in the convolution algebra $\text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k), k)$ of σ_0 . Let $p, q, i, j = 1, \dots, n$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \sigma_0((c_{pq})_{(1)} \otimes (c_{ij})_{(1)}) \sigma'_0((c_{pq})_{(2)} \otimes (c_{ij})_{(2)}) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sigma_0(c_{p\alpha} \otimes c_{i\beta}) \sigma'_0(c_{\alpha q} \otimes c_{\beta j}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} x_{\beta\alpha}^{ip} y_{jq}^{\beta\alpha} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{pq} = \varepsilon(c_{ij}) \varepsilon(c_{pq}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \sigma'_0((c_{pq})_{(1)} \otimes (c_{ij})_{(1)}) \sigma_0((c_{pq})_{(2)} \otimes (c_{ij})_{(2)}) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sigma'_0(c_{p\alpha} \otimes c_{i\beta}) \sigma_0(c_{\alpha q} \otimes c_{\beta j}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} y_{\beta\alpha}^{ip} x_{jq}^{\beta\alpha} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{pq} = \varepsilon(c_{ij}) \varepsilon(c_{pq}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\sigma_0 \in \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k), k)$ is invertible in convolution. In order to prove that $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_k(\mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R), k)$ remains invertible in the convolution, it is enough to prove that σ'_0 factorizes to a map $\sigma' : \mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R) \rightarrow k$. For $i, j, k, l, p, q = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\sigma'_0(c_{pq} \otimes o(i, j, k, l)) = \sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} y_{lq}^{vp} - \sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} y_{\alpha q}^{ip}$$

As $S = R^{-1}$ and R is a solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation we get that $R^{23}S^{12} = S^{12}R^{23}$. Using the equation (4) we obtain that $\sigma'_0(c_{pq} \otimes o(i, j, k, l)) = 0$. Hence, σ'_0 factorizes to a map $\sigma' : \mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R) \rightarrow k$.

2. Suppose now that $R\tau = \tau R$. It follows that

$$x_{uv}^{ji} = x_{vu}^{ij} \quad (23)$$

for all $i, j, u, v = 1, \dots, n$. Let

$$C(R) := \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the one in item 1. The only thing we have to prove is that the map $\sigma : \mathcal{M}^n(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R) \rightarrow k$ factorizes to a map $\tilde{\sigma} : C(R) \otimes C(R) \rightarrow k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(o(i, j, k, l) \otimes \overline{c_{pq}}) &= \sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} \sigma(c_{vl} \otimes \overline{c_{pq}}) - \sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} \sigma(c_{i\alpha} \otimes \overline{c_{pq}}) \\ &= \sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} x_{ql}^{pv} - \sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} x_{q\alpha}^{pi} \\ (\text{using (23)}) &= \sum_v x_{kv}^{ji} x_{lq}^{vp} - \sum_\alpha x_{kl}^{j\alpha} x_{\alpha q}^{ip} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j, k, l, p, q = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, $\sigma(I(R) \otimes \mathcal{M}^n(k)/I(R)) = 0$, i.e. σ factorizes to a map $\tilde{\sigma} : C(R) \otimes C(R) \rightarrow k$. $\square$

Applying the above theorem for the solution of the $\mathcal{D}$ -equation mentioned in the previous section, we shall construct the corresponding $\mathcal{D}$ -map defined for the coalgebra $C = \mathcal{M}^4(k)$.

Examples 4.5 1. Let $C = \mathcal{M}^4(k)$ and I be the two dimensional k -subspace of C with $\{c_{21}, c_{22} - c_{11}\}$ a k -basis. Then I is a coideal of C . Let a, b, c scalars of k and (x_{ij}^{uv}) given by the formulas (19). Then

$$\sigma : \mathcal{M}^4(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^4(k)/I \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma(c_{iv} \otimes \overline{c_{ju}}) = x_{uv}^{ji}$$

for all $i, j, u, v = 1, \dots, 4$ is a $\mathcal{D}$ -map.

2. Let $C = \mathcal{M}^4(k)$, $q \in k$ and I be the two dimensional coideal of C with $\{c_{21}, c_{12} + qc_{22} - qc_{11}\}$ a k -basis. Let (x_{ij}^{uv}) be the scalars of k of which

$$x_{21}^{11} = -q, \quad x_{21}^{21} = 1, \quad x_{22}^{11} = -q^2, \quad x_{22}^{21} = q.$$

and all others are zero. Then

$$\sigma : \mathcal{M}^4(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^4(k)/I \rightarrow k, \quad \sigma(c_{iv} \otimes \overline{c_{ju}}) = x_{uv}^{ji}$$

for all $i, j, u, v = 1, \dots, 4$ is a $\mathcal{D}$ -map.

3. Let $C = \mathcal{M}^4(k)$ and I be the two dimensional coideal of C with $\{c_{12}, c_{21}\}$ a k -basis. Let $a, b \in k$ and

$$\sigma : \mathcal{M}^4(k) \otimes \mathcal{M}^4(k)/I \rightarrow k$$

such that

$$\sigma(c_{11} \otimes \overline{c_{11}}) = a, \quad \sigma(c_{11} \otimes \overline{c_{22}}) = b$$

and all others are zero. Then σ is a $\mathcal{D}$ -map.

References

- [1] E. Abe, Hopf Algebras, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977.
- [2] S. Baaj, G. Skandalis, Unitaries multiplicatifs et dualite pour les produits croises de C^* -algebres, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* 26(1993), 425-488.
- [3] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru, S. Zhu, Crossed modules and Doi-Hopf modules, *Israel J. Math.*, 100(1997), 221-247.
- [4] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru, S. Zhu, Doi-Hopf modules, Yetter-Drinfel'd modules and Frobenius type properties, *Trans. AMS*, in press.
- [5] S. Caenepeel, F. Van Oystaeyen, B. Zhou, Making the category of Doi-Hopf modules into a braided monoidal category, preprint.
- [6] Y. Doi, Unifying Hopf modules, *J. Algebra* **153** (1992), 373-385.
- [7] L.D. Faddeev, N.Y. Reshetikhin, L.A. Takhtajan, Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, LOMI preprint E-14-87.
- [8] C. Kassel, Quantum groups, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [9] L.A. Lambe, D. Radford, Algebraic aspects of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* **54** (1992), 228-288.
- [10] F. W. Long, The Brauer group of dimodule algebras, *J. Algebras*, **31** (1974), 559-601.
- [11] G. Militaru, The Hopf modules category and the pentagonal equations, preprint 1997.
- [12] S. Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1993.
- [13] D. Radford, Solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and the Drinfel'd double, *J. Algebra* **161** (1993), 20-32.
- [14] D. Radford, Solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation arising from pointed bialgebras, *Trans. AMS* **343**(1994), 455-477.
- [15] M. Takesaki, Duality and von Neumann algebras, *Lecture Notes in Math.* vol. **247** (1972), 665-779.
- [16] D.N. Yetter, Quantum groups and representations of monoidal categories, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **108** (1990), 261-290.